Tube feeding, Catholic teaching, and dementia

If someone with dementia is no longer able to feed themselves, does Catholic teaching oblige us to insert a feeding tube or PEG and to feed them through it?

The Catholic approach to this question depends on our distinction between the ordinary and extraordinary means of preserving life. We have a moral responsibility to use the ordinary means of preserving our life. However, we may legitimately refuse extraordinary means. Reflecting this distinction, the *Code of Ethical Standards* states that “treatments may legitimately be forgone (withheld or withdrawn) if they are therapeutically futile, overly burdensome to the patient or not reasonably available without disproportionate hardship to the patient, carers or others.”

The official teaching of the Church has applied this distinction to the question of tube feeding for someone in a state of post-coma unresponsiveness (PCU). (This used to be called the vegetative state.) Such a person has suffered serious brain damage, perhaps through a car accident. After some time in a coma, they have regular sleep-wake cycles. However, they do not seem to be aware of, or in any conscious way responsive to, the world around them. They are profoundly disabled human beings.

For such a person, the Church teaches that tube feeding “should be considered in principle ordinary and proportionate, and as such morally obligatory” unless it imposes excessive burdens or will not sustain life. However, if it does impose excessive burdens or does not sustain life, the Church teaches that tube feeding for such a person may be refused, withheld or withdrawn.

The official teaching of the Church has not explicitly addressed the question of tube feeding for someone with dementia. Further, we should note that PCU and dementia are very different conditions. With proper care, someone with PCU can live for many years. (For example, Queensland Christian Brother Dan Courtney, who died recently, lived for more than 10 years in PCU.) By contrast, dementia is a terminal condition. Caused by progressive degeneration of the brain, dementia inevitably leads to death. Nothing we can do – not even tube feeding – alters this grim reality.

When someone with dementia is no longer able to feed themselves, the alternatives are hand feeding (i.e. being fed by another person) or inserting a feeding tube. Furthermore, the medical evidence is that for these patients tube feeding “is not likely to prevent or relieve aspiration pneumonia, increase patients’ nutritional status (including prolonging life), or reduce feelings of hunger and thirst better than hand feeding.” It also deprives them of the human companionship and gustatory enjoyment of hand feeding. And it may impose significant burdens if a person with dementia must be physically restrained to stop them trying to pull out their feeding tube.

There may be some, fairly rare cases in which a feeding tube might be appropriate for someone with dementia. For this reason, decisions about tube feeding for a person with dementia should be made in a Catholic facility on a case-by-case basis. It is wrong to think that Catholic teaching obliges us to insert and use a feeding tube in every case. In many cases, a Catholic facility and the family of a person with advanced dementia, having carefully reviewed his or her condition and circumstances, may reasonably conclude that tube feeding is not appropriate.
The National Palliative Care Program’s Guidelines for a Palliative Approach in Residential Aged Care contains much valuable advice about good nutritional care in an aged care facility. Good care “includes early recognition of weight loss and identification and management of likely causes (e.g. adverse medication effects, poor oral health or depression).” And “giving residents oral foods and fluid, even in small amounts, is preferable to using more invasive enteral (e.g. nasogastric or PEG) feeding methods.” Most importantly, the Guidelines provide a Tube Feeding Decision Aid which may be used with residents and their families to help them decide whether or not to pursue tube feeding.6

In many cases, the use of this Aid will help persons with dementia and their families to recognise that for them tube feeding would be futile or burdensome or even both, and therefore that it is for them an extraordinary means of preserving life which may legitimately be refused, withheld or withdrawn.
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